## A new Christian Amulet.

n the «Videnskapsselskapets Forhandlinger» for 1921 Nr. 1 (Christiania) I have published a new Christian amulet with the collaboration of mr. A. Fridrichsen. As the amulet is of rather a great importance for philologists as well as for theologians I think it worth while to publish it anew in the Aegyptus with some conjectures of interested readers, conjectures that at least may prove useful to further elucidation of the contents. The scrap of papyrus contains the following charm:

## XMF

Ωρωρφωρφωρ Ιαώ Σαβαώθ 'Αδωναί 'Ελωέ Σαλαμάν Ι· ρχ.
Δέννω σε, Σκόρπιε 'Αρτεμίσιε τΤε, διαφύλαξον τόν οἶκον τοῦτον μετὰ τῶν ἐνοικούντων ἀπό παντός κακοῦ, ἀπό βασκοσύνης πάσης ἀερίνων πνευμάτων καὶ ἀνθρωπίνου ὀφθαλ[μοῦ], καὶ πόνου δεινοῦ [καὶ] δήγματος σκορπίου καὶ ὅφεως, διὰ τὸ ὅνομα τοῦ ὑψίστου θεοῦ ναιας μελιζ ξυρουρο αααααα βαϊνχωωωχ μα ιιιιι λ ηναγκορη. Φύλαξον, Κύριε, υἱὲ τοῦ Δαυἰδ κατὰ σάρκα, ὁ τεχθεἰς ἐκ τῆς 'Αγίας Παρθένου Μαρίας, ἄγιε ὑψιστε θεὲ, ἐξ 'Αγίου Πνεύματος. Δόξα σοι, οὐράνιε βασιλεῦ. 'Αμήν. α+ω. Κ. Α+Ω. 'Ιχθός.

Only the first two lines present difficulties as to the correct reading. In 1. 2 I read  $I \cdot \rho \chi$ , and I thought this to be a retrograde  $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$ . Preisigke asks if it is not possible to read IYXP, and thinks, referring to 1. 8  $\kappa\iota\rho\iota\varepsilon$ , that we have to solve the letters into  $I(\eta\sigma\sigma)\delta$   $X\rho(\iota\sigma\tau\varepsilon)$ . According to my view the papyrus does not favour the at any rate very acute hypothesis of Preisigke. Neither can I approve of the conjecture of Wessely reading  $\Sigma\alpha\lambda\alpha\mu\alpha\nu\iota$   $\alpha\rho\chi(\alpha\gamma\gamma\varepsilon\lambda\varepsilon)$ . But H. Diels may perhaps have recovered the correct reading  $T\Upsilon XI$  (he refers to Simpl. Phys. 333, 16 ed. acad.  $\delta$   $T\iota\chi\eta$   $\kappa\alpha\iota$   $\Lambda\circ\xi\iota\alpha$   $\tau\omega\delta\varepsilon$   $\tau\iota\nu\alpha$  [cfr. Wilamowitz, Griech. Verskunst 290, 4]  $\Im\varepsilon\mu\iota\sigma\tau\varepsilon\iota\varepsilon\varepsilon$ .



Although the ductus of the first and last letter (see the facsimile) is rather strange. The very similar charm in Oxyrh. Pap. VIII 1152 names Jesu Christ in the last place.

In 1.2 the sign of abbreviation  $\tau T_{\varepsilon}$  has been a subject of much doubt. Wessely thinks it to be a number, the sum of the digits of an unknown name — 315 (somewhat like  ${}^{2}A\beta\rho\alpha-\sigma\alpha\xi$  etc.), but he has not discovered the right name concealed in this number. V. Dobschütz very acutely conjectures that the abbreviation is to solve into the Coptic INOYTE in dialect  $\Phi\nu\sigma\nu\Im\iota$ ) =  $\delta$   $\Im\varepsilon\delta\varsigma$ , and he refers to the writings  $\Pi X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$ ,  $\Pi\dot{\omega}\rho\iota\sigma\varsigma$ ,  $\Pi\nu\tilde{\omega}\rho\iota\alpha$  etc. (cfr. Pistis Sophia ed. Schmidt). However I do not think it necessary to abandon my own conjecture, as we in that way obtain three essential names of the daemon invoked to help against all sorts of evil.

As to the reading XMΓ O. Kern very appropriately reminds of the Eleusinian call Βριμών Βριμών etc. You have to note the number of three letters.

Kristiania, 20-III-1922.

S. EITREM.

In data 25 marzo 1922 l'autore ci mandava quest'aggiunta :

F. J. Dölger in his book ΊΧΘΥΣ vol. II p. 511 attracts attention to Oxyrh. Pap. VII 213 s. (1910) Nr. 1060, where we just have the next parallel to the Kristiania amulet; the text, which we now may correct from the new papyrus, runs thus:

Την θύραν την Αφροδίτην φροδίτην ροδίτην οδίτην δίτην ετην την ην ωρωρ φωρφωρ Ιαώ Σαβαώθ Αδονέ δενωσε σκορπιε αρτερησιε, απάλλαξον τον οίκον τούτον από παντός κακοῦ έρπετοῦ (και) πράγματος ταχύ ταχύ ο ἄγιος Φωκᾶς ὧδέ έστιν Θ(α)μενώθ ιγ' ένδ τρίτης,

L. 2 is consequently to be read: δένω σε Σκόρπιε 'Αρτεμίσιε;
 1. 9 ἄδε « St. Phocas is here ».

Estratto da Aegyptus anno III, n. 1-2 (1922).